

April 1, 2010

Ms. Susan Pollay Executive Director Downtown Development Authority 150 South Fifth Avenue, Suite 301 Ann Arbor, MI 48104

Re: Ann Arbor 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue Parking Deck

Dear Ms. Pollay:

Michigan's construction industry has been well served by individuals of high honor and integrity. These industry leaders embody an unwavering allegiance to ethics, principles and moral courage, all of which are vitally important, especially in the public bid arena, where construction professionals often make multi-million dollar commitments based on a bid-day phone call. Beyond words, these leaders represent a promise. And when this is overtly ignored, I feel it is my obligation to speak up.

Recently, when The Christman Company publicly opened the bid packages for concrete work on the 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue Ramp, the following results were reported:

	Bidding Company	Base Bid
1.	Granger -	\$21,499,000
2.	Colasanti -	\$21,980,000
3.	Christman -	\$22,025,000
4.	Spence -	\$23,286,000
ь.	Barton Malow -	\$23,980,000
6.	Walbridge -	\$25,500,000

Surprisingly, the Christman Company ultimately awarded the work to their own subsidiary after cloistering themselves for three weeks and attempting to close off communications between the DDA and the other bidders.

Subsequently, we were informed that their decision was based on a review that took place during a post-bid conference in Christman's office. It was asserted that Granger Construction was unprepared for the post bid conference. (The enclosed meeting minutes illustrate that there was no indication, during or immediately following the conversation that indicate any concerns regarding preparation.) Colasanti, the second low-bidder, was not criticized for their lack of preparation. However, Christman was able to selectively choose a series of bid alternates which cleverly resulted in their team being able to present a lower price.

Susan Pollay, DDA April 1, 2010 Page 2

Apart from Christman's comments on Granger's sixty-minute post bid conference, there was no clear reason to overlook the \$526,000 difference in price.

Due to the extraordinary effort it took to prepare a winning bid on a project of this scope and magnitude, coupled with our strong resume of delivering similar projects on time and under budget, our preparation and commitment to a successful project should have been obvious. None of Granger's references were consulted, and there was absolutely no indication that Granger's bid lacked a single project scope requirement. If Christman had concerns, they had a duty to clearly document them in the meeting minutes and/or call us to communicate them. Regrettably, neither was done. The Ann Arbor DDA, and the taxpayers of Ann Arbor deserve the benefit of the lowest qualified bidder. Explaining the \$526,000 difference became a shrewdly conducted shell-game, where The Christman Company extracted other savings out of the Guaranteed Maximum Price contract in order to make it appear that they're serving your organization.

When Granger Construction interviewed for the construction manager's role, the Ann Arbor DDA made it exceedingly clear that it desired openness, transparency and competitiveness. Unfortunately, that has not been the case.

The Christman Company put a great deal of effort into keeping their most capable competitors off the bid list. Clearly, they coveted this work for their subsidiary. After being pressured to include an open bid involving the contractors listed above, Christman lost in an open, fair, transparent competitive process. Somewhere the process went astray as Christman proceeded to navigate an extremely questionable path in order to recommend their subsidiary to complete the work.

For the benefit of the Ann Arbor DDA and the Greater Ann Arbor community, it is my sincere recommendation that Christman's bid and the entire bidding process be thoroughly investigated. By doing so, the DDA will be fulfilling its commitment to transparency.

Thank you for being fair and open-minded about this request. And by all means, please feel to contact me at 517-887-4132 if you have any immediate questions or would like further information regarding this issue.

Most respectfully,

**GRANGER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY** 

Glenn D. Granger President

GDG:eml

cc: DDA Board Members



# **Post-Bid Conference**

	AA 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Underground Parking Deck	Work Category	WC 10 Structural Concrete
Christman Project No.	209222	Bidder	GRANDE
Owner	Ann Arbor - DDA	Meeting Date & Time	March 9, 2010 @ 2200ph
A/E	Carl Walker	Meeting Location	AA Deck jobsite – 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue

Attendees:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Owner:	Aderma to man Trac
A/E:	dore the
Christman:	Jeff Adcock, Amy Sullivan, Gary Shannon, Doug McCune
Bidder:	GRANGER (5)
	GRANGLER (5) STOLE AFFNANE, BEER, DAREYL
this Post Bid	no commitment made on the part of The Christman Company today. The purpose of Conference is to discuss the proposal, specifications, and drawings, and to make sure understands what is needed to perform the work required of this Work Category.
By signing this	form, the <u>Bidder acknowledges</u> : Initials:

Ι.	Contactor utilized the plans, specifications, work category description and addendums to complete the bid and bid is per plans and specifications with no deviations.	(m)	(N)
2.	Base bid amount includes all work noted in the Work Category Description, including Addenda: 123	Ø	(N)
3.	Base bid includes all Cost Allowances noted in the Work Category Description and Contract Documents. Not applicable 750, exect	()	(N)
4.	Base bid includes all costs for material handling, clean-up, and proper removal and disposal of all debris generated by Bidder's operations.	Ø	(N)
5.	Base bid includes all insurance requirements per specification	(D)	(N)
6.	Base bid include costs of payment and performance bonds, as required by the Contract Documents.	$\bigotimes$	(N)
7.	Base bid includes all applicable taxes.	Ø	(N)
8.	Base bid contains no exceptions pertaining to availability of material, accessibility to site, etc		
	(State exceptions)	. M	(N)
9.	Bid pricing for alternates includes all costs related to Bidder's work.	(CIP	(N)
10.	Submission of completed Proposal Form.		
	(State exceptions	. OP	(N)
11.	Acceptability of all Contract Terms and Conditions.	Q19	(N)
12.	Responsibility for correcting all work deemed deficient through inspection or testing.	09	(N)
13.	Attendance at Pre-Bid Conference on February 17, 2010 and acceptance of all items covered in that meeting – addendum # 1.	(6)	(N)

# **Post-Bid Conference**

Ву	signing this form, the Bidder acknowledges:	I	Initials:
14.	Visiting the job site and complete understanding of all access restraints and other logistical limits (storage, lay down, etc.).	(D)	(N)
15.	Agreement to comply with schedule requirements indicated in the Work Category Description. Alternate #8 includes accelerated schedule as indicated in the bid package.	Ċ	(N)
16.	Base bid includes shift-time and/or overtime necessary to meet the project schedule and phasing plan.	¢CP	(N)
17.	Complete understanding of all safety requirements without exception.	œ	(N)
18.	Bidder will submit, if awarded a contract, a copy of Bidder's Safety Program for the file and a copy of the sign-in sheets for all Tool Box Safety Meetings.	<b>(</b> 66)	(N)
19.	Bidder will attend, if awarded a contract, all weekly Trade Contractor meetings as directed by the Construction Manager.	Ô	(N)
20.	Full compliance with section 002213 – Special Provision: Full MIOSHA compliance	(9)	(N)
	Project Specific Safety Program - 100% safety glasses, reflective vests/high-visibility shirts	~	
	100% fall protection over 6', no exceptions	/	
	Housekeeping Requirements	/	
		Ø	(N)
	CUB Agreement / Union contractor	- (0)	(N)
	Parking constraints	Ø	(N)
	All surface dewatering and snow and ice removal	89)	(N)
	Includes all layout for work	Ø	(N)
	Includes all related permits and fees required to complete this work. Soil and Bldg. permit by CM only.	Ø	(N)
	Acknowledgement of working hours & no work during Art Fair 2010 & 2011	Ø	(N)
s proj	. Mandatory post-accident drug screening program, and their responsibility for having a drug creen performed within eight (8) hours for any of their employees: who are injured on the ect site and seek medical treatment, whose actions may have contributed to another person's tries, or whose actions may have contributed to a major safety incident not resulting in injury.	Ð	(N)
	. Discuss lead times for materials: Submittal generation: 2 weeks . All materials per plans and specifications w/ no deviations.		
		Ð	(N)
•	Quantity Verification:       4,500         Reinforcing Steel Complete:       700,000         Post Tensioning Cable:       300,000         Concrete Material Complete:       40,000         Mud Mat/Mat Foundation:       70,000         Toppings/SOG:       7,000         Walls Complete       CY         Columns       7200	Sr	PL 1 512

**EHRISTMAN** 

SINCE 1894



Initials:



#### By signing this form, the Bidder acknowledges:

Decks/Beams

12,000 CY

CY

• All Other (curbs, islands, etc..)

- Provide complete subcontractor and vendor list:
  - O Earthwork: EALE O Slurry Wall: CONTRACT DEWATER DEWIND
  - Additional ERS: EACLE
  - Concrete Supplier: Toons
  - · Reinforcing Steel Supplier: Amtsassaser or Burner
  - · Post Tensioning Supplier: Sysco on Son const
  - o Resteel/ PT installer (EMR's): In House
  - o Formwork: In Huns
  - o Waterproofing System/installer (EMR's): Kayan or RAM
  - · Layout: In yourse
  - Shotcrete(EMR's): <u>IZery AL UK IZAW</u>
    Doors/ Frames and Hardware: <u>LAFERLEOK</u> TANVEL
- Do suppliers and installers meet requirements of specifications?\_\_\_\_\_
- Any issues with material warranties as specified? Www. VERVEY -
- Tower crane foundation allowance and pour backs/leave outs included in base bid?
- Please verify that you have included all costs associated with winter work. Short loads due to frost laws -- total cost or # of loads.
- Discuss program for material handling (overtime allowances for subs and tower crane operator?)

CRANE Dog 32 En > WEST 725 CRANE SAUE 0127 POSSIBLE BUILD AS Pet onia ~ SHIP TO SIRE Mue RELUCATE 2005 VBL NORTH SIDE LONGONT. Fre then whe TED TO NEED and cro GRANGER. porunas own Ex /Tec/ hough Sairs EXPENITE WANT TO INVESTIC Locarrow Fire Bin. ATINA

Muchan Malls - these walls all pour 60 days after adjacent deck work is completed and cannot be placed prior to decks being installed. Any issues?

-*-	Acknow	onnoo	Lee	man	Lac.	
-+	STAPTO	Sturing	TORN	~5 '	·····	

 Exterior walls against lagging to include 6" of additional thickness to accommodate lagging location tolerances (base bid). Will additional material be required?

SINCE 1894

## **Post-Bid Conference**

By signing this form, the Bidder acknowledges: Initials: Describe sequencing for the construction of the shear pipe brace bays, refer to drawings S7.16. Par - Activaronacion -+ Firm + DIFICULTY Provide name and references from owner, CM and architect for proposed Project Manager and Superintendent. Simon Project Manager = GLEN Site Superintendent = The STENE Hotpurscule Pors Lang PE How long working for company PM= Gran PS= 25 Site Superintendent = How long working for company PM= Average crew size = How many crews? 3-4 How many crews? 3-4 How many crews? 3-4 Finis Hores, Optimized = Finis Powe DAys Describe acceleration plan for Alternate #8 (fifth ave open by July I, 2011). Are tower CATSURTICS /Finishbe + Deck to RE Opar-me WALL WILL NOT BE DONE PEEMIUMS -\* - WILL NEED TO WORK SATS. TO MAINTAIN Setwork Win Form up Have updated financials been provided? Not AC OFVET = Describe flood testing (033000, 1.5 B) and crack repair procedures (033000, 3.8). Supporto SLABS - EPOXY REPAIR -For - AFRIC JOINTS / XyLORE/ (AULKING ALL Imthoos I-T. Any issues with specified form finishes? -- Au CONCRETE TO BE Exponso bro KALLED PRBOD.

SINCE 1894

412157

## **Post-Bid Conference**

By signing this form, the Bidder acknowledges: Initials: \* Please describe the curing and protection procedures for the deck section 033000 section 3.7 . WRE RANSLIAND = 1000045 ÷ Transamo + BLANKERS Inine Please describe your procedure for installation of mat foundation concrete. 30×182 How are you ensuring that the MAT foundation concrete mix is installed per 0 the specification? 30' Stanor moren ATT Com MAT 0F 35 RP mar I Have you included the test batches per section 033000 1.5-H. as well as the 0 mock up? Y63 Please describe your plan to safely support the upper reinforcing steel in the mat foundations, specifically for thickness greater than 3' thick. Will this be an engineered system? 0 HODITWNAL FIGUREDO to TERZ wo K10 フン 5yammer DIMINISTAR NOUS 1m Ress NBS For SY STEM ENGINEEROS How many placements do you anticipate off Division Street? Do you have included all costs associated with street closures, permits, fees etc...? FOURS. Describe access/egress for the site excavation. AFRATE Make Roup emat Ac \_\_\_\_ or Ano GRANINGE TO REMOVE Gponsu, 0. lagno.

## **Post-Bid Conference**

### By signing this form, the <u>Bidder acknowledges</u>:

Initials:

	Nour
	Curb support per details: C4.5 / 2B, C4.6 / 1, S11.22, Det. 12, S11.25, det. 4&5, C4.4, det. 8, S11.25, Det 7. Any issues?
	Flexible bollards - any issues?
	Base bid includes all work at speed ramps including insulation per D8.3 / detail 4&5. $\sqrt{C^2}$
	Alum stair nosing – <del>installed by others</del> – depression included, temp infill.? PECIEVE + LESTMU
	Footing F9 – Deleted per addendum. Shown on S2.0.1 E line to C line 1.3 – 1.7-Added back per Addendum #3
	Mud mat location on Addendum 2-Any issues? NowE
	Installation of all imbeds for other work categoriesAny issues?
	F&I steel on detail S9.1 detail 5? Review detail. Halfen S9.1, detail 5. Any issues? - KIECD 70 VERLEY
	Carpentry – includes stainless doors @ fire doors –detail 10&11/A11.0-Any issues?
	- NOWE Coordination with mechanical rough in for foundations. Any issues? - NWE -
	Any issues with pour sequence per master schedule? Revision for SERVENCE
~	
	Architect will require shop drawings indicating tie holes (exposed to be uniform pattern) for review and approval. Any issues? STATER, CELENTERN, PLAZA (h

, similar this forms, the Didden asker such

SINCE 1894

**BCHRISTMAN** 

By signing this form, the <u>Bidder acknowledges</u> :	Initials:
<ul> <li>Discuss safety procedures: Who is the safety person onsite, how often are safety</li> </ul>	
inspections conducted, how fall exposures protected, how violations are handled, disciplinary procedures, hot work permits and fire watch procedures are executed.	1
+ PRIVIDED RESUME TOF COMPLETE, DAVY + DR SAFETY TRAWING QUEITE	Insportions.
- 100% SAFETY OFFICIER + NOT WORKING W/ TO	×- S.
Additional items of discussion:	
- CRUTICAL SOVES	
-+ 1-9.5 - 5poil REMOVAL	
TO LACK OF MATTORIAL = How TO MARKE,	p The?
7 romys = 1 pink = PROACTIVE AppROAM RESOLUE (SSVE = ADD MAN POWER	est the
- WHAT IF WE DELAN YOU - UNFORESCE	
(CNDITIONS =	
KEEP TIME = SOME GC \$ = CRANES + G	QUIPMENT / SPAR
+ HOUS END DATE = Work TOLETHOR TO	
EVALLATE OPTIONS AND MINIMIEE	Con Con T
Imposets	
Working - 10 fe / 6 mays	

Items to be provided/confirmed by Bidder:

28.



**Post-Bid Conference** 

## By signing this form, the <u>Bidder acknowledges</u>:

Initials:

The undersigned acknowledges that information contained herein is correct.

<u>3/9/2010</u> Date 6/2 U Christman Company The ann Date Bidder Date Owner Date Architect